

involve

a journal of mathematics

Alternative resources for funding and supporting
undergraduate research

Zachary Kudlak, Zeynep Teymuroglu and Carl Yerger



Alternative resources for funding and supporting undergraduate research

Zachary Kudlak, Zeynep Teymuroglu and Carl Yerger

(Communicated by Darren A. Narayan)

At a time when funding for programs on academic campuses around the country is tight, financial support for undergraduate research has also become increasingly difficult to find. We discuss some suggestions for funding and supporting undergraduate research programs in mathematics from the 2012 “Trends in Undergraduate Research in Mathematical Sciences” conference held in Chicago, October 26–28, 2012.

1. Introduction

The “Trends in Undergraduate Research in Mathematical Sciences” (TURMS) conference was held in Chicago, October 26–28, 2012. Members of the mathematical community came together with the common goal of fostering research programs in mathematics for undergraduate students.

At a time when funding for programs on academic campuses around the country is tight, financial support for undergraduate research has also become increasingly difficult to find. Funding for Research Experiences for Undergraduates (REUs) has traditionally come from the National Science Foundation (NSF). However, with the NSF facing budgetary constraints in the coming years, funding for REUs is uncertain and the number of REU sites has remained relatively flat over the last few years despite increasing interest from institutions wanting to host such programs. Jennifer Slimowitz Pearl, program director in the Division of Mathematical Sciences at the NSF, stated at the conference that the demand for REU funding from the NSF currently exceeds the ability to fund programs. She went on to say that currently there are great proposals that go unfunded simply for the fact that there is not enough room in the budget to support them. Furthermore, the budget for 2013 is not expected to increase. It is therefore necessary for institutions interested in funding undergraduate research to explore doing so by obtaining funding from sources outside of the NSF.

MSC2010: 97-06.

Keywords: undergraduate research.

Some government organizations, such as the Department of Defense, contribute funding for undergraduate research. These organizations give funding to the NSF instead of awarding grants directly, and it is the NSF that decides on and awards this funding to institutions. Representatives of the NSF have stated that they would be willing to work with additional organizations in this manner.

Some participants of the TURMS conference asked NSF representatives if it would be possible for host institutions to cost-share on REU expenses with the NSF. The representatives answered this question in the negative. One reason behind this decision is concern that the success of a proposal would be based on the amount of institutional cost-sharing in the budget and not necessarily the merit of the proposal.

In this article we describe possible answers and potential challenges to two questions of Pearl during her presentation in the opening banquet of the 2012 TURMS conference:

- How can undergraduate research be assimilated into the curriculum without outside funding?
- How can funding agencies structure an initial investment in an undergraduate research program (REU or otherwise) so that some parts of it are sustainable after the grant money ends?

2. Research in the curriculum

Many participants of the TURMS conference shared their experiences incorporating undergraduate research as part of the mathematics curriculum, both as explicit research courses and senior theses, or as courses centered around a model of inquiry-based learning. We present some discussion on both of these models in this section.

Research as a graduation requirement. One example where undergraduate research has been included as part of the curriculum is at East Tennessee State University (ETSU), where all math majors must complete a one-semester undergraduate research course. Anant Godbole, former math department chair at ETSU, explained that this course is possible both by the relatively small number of math majors and the willingness of faculty to advise projects in addition to their usual teaching responsibilities. At ETSU, Godbole reports that about half the faculty have volunteered to advise at least one student. The research experiences range from projects resulting in publications for the most able students to novel presentations of fundamental mathematical ideas for weaker students. Godbole reminded the group that even “C” students have to complete the course and these students also deserve a suitably challenging project. Another participant from Wartburg College mentioned that the Physics department there tried a similar model but found that

the effort needed to run such a program was unsustainable. At some liberal arts colleges, a research-type experience is also a graduation requirement. For instance, at Harvey Mudd College, mathematics majors must either complete a senior thesis or participate in a clinic project, a team project where students consult for an outside company to solve a real-world problem over the course of a year.

Inquiry-based learning. Inquiry-based learning (IBL) can be used as an educational tool to help students gain research experience in the classroom without the manpower needed to implement the ETSU model. Michael Starbird, professor at the University of Texas at Austin, proposed that IBL courses share many of the same learning outcomes with undergraduate research. In an IBL course, students are asked to work on problems to develop concept knowledge and problem-solving skills. At the end of a well organized IBL course, students should develop an ability to understand a research question, find strategies to solve a problem, work in teams, and learn how to raise questions in the process. Such IBL courses could serve as mini-REUs and translate research experience into the classroom. Incorporating IBL techniques into an undergraduate curriculum can provide a cost-efficient way of introducing research skills to a wide audience who might not otherwise be involved in undergraduate research in mathematics.

IBL courses bring together students from different backgrounds and with a wide range of skill sets to work and communicate as a team to solve a problem. Such classes might not only attract mathematics majors but also students who are just interested in mathematics. Consequently, instructors have more flexibility to introduce interdisciplinary research questions in the course. IBL techniques might be implemented in many different courses. However, incorporating IBL teaching methods for some courses might be challenging. Developing an IBL course requires careful planning and preparation by the faculty member. Some research questions might not be suitable to capture the essence of an IBL experience and might not attract students' interest. Since IBL course registration is open to all eligible students, instructors might spend some time motivating and encouraging students during the term. In contrast, the REU selection process filters for students who are already motivated and interested in mathematical research. Starbird states that benefits of this program include that in an IBL class, each day students are "overcoming the unknown" and sometimes experiencing the "joy of success" of solving a challenging problem new to them.

3. Creating sustainable research programs

If funding for undergraduate research continues to be scarce, then mathematics departments wishing to foster undergraduate research will be forced to find sustainable sources of funding. Departments with graduate programs could use graduate

students as mentors for undergraduate research without placing additional financial burden on the institution. Research mentors could also look to the local community to find support for undergraduate research. Participants of the TURMS conference also brought up the concern for compensating faculty and students who participate in undergraduate research. We discuss these issues in the following section.

Graduate students as research mentors. While graduate students are not the sole mentors in many REUs, their participation is essential in organizing larger REUs with a variety of different research projects. The workload in maintaining a REU program for a large group of undergraduate students can be overwhelming. As Leslie Hogben, professor at Iowa State University, explained in her presentation, a graduate assistant serves as a bridge between the faculty mentor and the student research groups in the REU process. They make sure that students are meeting the expectations and working effectively in a collaborative environment. If there is a problem in the team dynamics, it has been Hogben's experience that students are more likely to share it with a graduate assistant than a faculty mentor. Students might also feel more comfortable talking to graduate assistants about graduate schools and mathematics as a career option. Serving as mentors in REUs will help graduate students to become better teachers and researchers in the future. During the term of the REU, they will discuss a variety of research topics with a diverse and wide audience. Such exposure early in their careers motivates them to improve their teaching methods, benefiting both the graduate students and undergraduate students at once. For a more detailed account of the benefits of graduate student mentors for undergraduate research, see [Bliss and Isaksen 2000; Hartke et al. 2007].

Community-based learning. If the federal government will not fully support undergraduate research, then the mathematical community must look to other sources of funding. One source of sustainable research problems and funding is community-based learning. In community-based learning local individuals or organizations consult with an institution and engage students to solve a problem related to their business or nonprofit organization. One benefit of this is that students get to work on real-world problems that directly impact their community. At some institutions, such as Davidson College, there is a Center of Civic Engagement that promotes courses that incorporate community-based learning assignments. They provide a small stipend (typically around \$1500) to encourage faculty members to add community-based aspects to their classes and compensate them for the additional preparation time these projects entail. Organizations that come to an institution for advice may also be asked to provide support for students to present their work at an undergraduate research conference.

Another way students can receive an experience akin to mathematical research is through internships. At Worcester Polytechnic Institute there is a graduation

requirement where students of every major must participate in an internship or consulting experience with business partners either locally or through established global partnerships.

Compensation for student and faculty research. One major concern of many conference participants is that their current institutions do not have the funds or are unwilling to compensate faculty directly for work with students during the academic year. Even small amounts of monetary compensation can indicate to a faculty member that their efforts are appreciated by their institutions. One way that faculty can be rewarded is via the tenure and promotion process. Another suggestion was that students could be paid for conducting research as a form of work-study.

Departments may be able to receive funding from alumni and corporate sponsors. Darren Narayan, professor at the Rochester Institute of Technology, gave the example of getting JetBlue to sponsor student travel and asking alumni to sponsor a student. This approach could eventually turn into an endowed fund providing a perpetual and sustainable source for student research funding. It may also be the case that alumni are more willing to give when they see the direct benefit of their contribution. Narayan also suggested that it is more effective to contact alumni with opportunities to support student travel to conferences, with a tangible purpose of their donation. Faculty interested in these kinds of initiatives should coordinate with their development office.

4. Conclusion

The level of participation at the 2012 TURMS conference shows anecdotally how far undergraduate research in mathematics has come in the past two decades. Nearly 500 undergraduates presented posters at the 2013 Joint Mathematics Meetings in San Diego, California. Joseph Gallian [2012] states unequivocally that “more REU-like summer programs, more academic year opportunities for undergraduates to engage in research, and more undergraduates attending conferences and presenting” are the future. However, it seems that it will take greater effort to find funding for this research. Whether the money is to fund an REU site, to pay students and faculty for institutional summer research programs, or to help undergraduates and their mentors travel to conferences to share their findings, the mathematical community must be creative in seeking out this financial support. Inquiry-based learning-type classes could be used to help foster the same kind of problem-solving skills and senses of mathematical discovery that are the intended goals of undergraduate research. Reaching out to the community in the form of service-learning and internships could lower the burden on the institutions and the government.

References

- [Bliss and Isaksen 2000] D. Bliss and D. Isaksen, “Student mentors in the Duluth mathematics REU”, *Counc. Undergrad. Res. Q.* **19**:4 (2000), 163–167.
- [Gallian 2012] J. A. Gallian, “Undergraduate research in mathematics has come of age”, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **59**:8 (2012), 1112–1114.
- [Hartke et al. 2007] S. Hartke, D. Isaksen, and P. Wood, “Graduate students as mentors in mathematics REUs”, pp. 285–287 in *Proceedings of the Conference on Promoting Undergraduate Research in Mathematics* (Rosemont, IL, 2006), edited by J. A. Gallian, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.

Received: 2013-01-05

Revised: 2013-04-17

Accepted: 2013-04-26

zkudlak@monmouth.edu

Department of Mathematics, Monmouth University, 400 Cedar Avenue, West Long Branch, NJ 07764, United States

zteymuroglu@rollins.edu

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rollins College, 1000 Holt Avenue, Winter Park, FL 32789, United States

cayerger@davidson.edu

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Davidson College, Davidson, NC 28035, United States

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR

Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Wake Forest University, USA, berenhks@wfu.edu

BOARD OF EDITORS

Colin Adams	Williams College, USA colin.c.adams@williams.edu	David Larson	Texas A&M University, USA larson@math.tamu.edu
John V. Baxley	Wake Forest University, NC, USA baxley@wfu.edu	Suzanne Lenhart	University of Tennessee, USA lenhart@math.utk.edu
Arthur T. Benjamin	Harvey Mudd College, USA benjamin@hmc.edu	Chi-Kwong Li	College of William and Mary, USA ckli@math.wm.edu
Martin Bohner	Missouri U of Science and Technology, USA bohner@mst.edu	Robert B. Lund	Clemson University, USA lund@clemson.edu
Nigel Boston	University of Wisconsin, USA boston@math.wisc.edu	Gaven J. Martin	Massey University, New Zealand g.j.martin@massey.ac.nz
Amarjit S. Budhiraja	U of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA budhiraj@email.unc.edu	Mary Meyer	Colorado State University, USA meyer@stat.colostate.edu
Pietro Cerone	La Trobe University, Australia P.Cerone@latrobe.edu.au	Emil Minchev	Ruse, Bulgaria eminchev@hotmail.com
Scott Chapman	Sam Houston State University, USA scott.chapman@shsu.edu	Frank Morgan	Williams College, USA frank.morgan@williams.edu
Joshua N. Cooper	University of South Carolina, USA cooper@math.sc.edu	Mohammad Sal Moslehian	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran moslehian@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir
Jem N. Corcoran	University of Colorado, USA corcoran@colorado.edu	Zuhair Nashed	University of Central Florida, USA znashed@mail.ucf.edu
Toka Diagana	Howard University, USA tdiagana@howard.edu	Ken Ono	Emory University, USA ono@mathcs.emory.edu
Michael Dorff	Brigham Young University, USA mdorff@math.byu.edu	Timothy E. O'Brien	Loyola University Chicago, USA tbriell@luc.edu
Sever S. Dragomir	Victoria University, Australia sever@matilda.vu.edu.au	Joseph O'Rourke	Smith College, USA orourke@cs.smith.edu
Behrouz Emamizadeh	The Petroleum Institute, UAE bemamizadeh@pi.ac.ae	Yuval Peres	Microsoft Research, USA peres@microsoft.com
Joel Foisy	SUNY Potsdam foisyjs@potsdam.edu	Y.-F. S. Pétermann	Université de Genève, Switzerland petermann@math.unige.ch
Errin W. Fulp	Wake Forest University, USA fulp@wfu.edu	Robert J. Plemmons	Wake Forest University, USA rplemmons@wfu.edu
Joseph Gallian	University of Minnesota Duluth, USA jjgallian@d.umn.edu	Carl B. Pomerance	Dartmouth College, USA carl.pomerance@dartmouth.edu
Stephan R. Garcia	Pomona College, USA stephan.garcia@pomona.edu	Vadim Ponomarenko	San Diego State University, USA vadim@sciences.sdsu.edu
Anant Godbole	East Tennessee State University, USA godbole@etsu.edu	Bjorn Poonen	UC Berkeley, USA poonen@math.berkeley.edu
Ron Gould	Emory University, USA rg@mathcs.emory.edu	James Propp	U Mass Lowell, USA jpropp@cs.uml.edu
Andrew Granville	Université Montréal, Canada andrew.andrew@dms.umontreal.ca	József H. Przytycki	George Washington University, USA przytyck@gwu.edu
Jerrold Griggs	University of South Carolina, USA griggs@math.sc.edu	Richard Rebarber	University of Nebraska, USA rrebarbe@math.unl.edu
Sat Gupta	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA sgupta@uncg.edu	Robert W. Robinson	University of Georgia, USA rwr@cs.uga.edu
Jim Haglund	University of Pennsylvania, USA jhaglund@math.upenn.edu	Filip Saidak	U of North Carolina, Greensboro, USA f_saidak@uncg.edu
Johnny Henderson	Baylor University, USA johnny_henderson@baylor.edu	James A. Sellers	Penn State University, USA sellersj@math.psu.edu
Jim Hoste	Pitzer College jhoste@pitzer.edu	Andrew J. Sterge	Honorary Editor andy@ajsterge.com
Natalia Hritonenko	Prairie View A&M University, USA nahritonenko@pvamu.edu	Ann Trenk	Wellesley College, USA atrenk@wellesley.edu
Glenn H. Hurlbert	Arizona State University, USA hurlbert@asu.edu	Ravi Vakil	Stanford University, USA vakil@math.stanford.edu
Charles R. Johnson	College of William and Mary, USA crjohnso@math.wm.edu	Antonia Vecchio	Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Italy antonia.vecchio@cnr.it
K. B. Kulasekera	Clemson University, USA kk@ces.clemson.edu	Ram U. Verma	University of Toledo, USA verma99@msn.com
Gerry Ladas	University of Rhode Island, USA gladas@math.uri.edu	John C. Wierman	Johns Hopkins University, USA wierman@jhu.edu
		Michael E. Zieve	University of Michigan, USA zieve@umich.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/involve for submission instructions. The subscription price for 2014 is US \$120/year for the electronic version, and \$165/year (+\$35, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to MSP.

Involve (ISSN 1944-4184 electronic, 1944-4176 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

Involve peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2014 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

involve

2014

vol. 7

no. 3

Preface	245
DARREN A. NARAYAN	
Undergraduate research in mathematics with deaf and hard-of-hearing students: four perspectives	247
HENRY ADLER, BONNIE JACOB, KIM KURZ AND RAJA KUSHALNAGAR	
Challenges in promoting undergraduate research in the mathematical sciences	265
FERYAL ALAYONT, YULIYA BABENKO, CRAIG JACKSON AND ZSUZSANNA SZANISZLO	
Undergraduate research as a capstone requirement	273
HANNAH L. CALLENDER, JAMES P. SOLAZZO AND ELIZABETH WILCOX	
A decade of undergraduate research for all East Tennessee State University mathematics majors	281
ARIEL CINTRÓN-ARIAS AND ANANT GODBOLE	
The MAA undergraduate poster session 1991–2013	295
JOYATI DEBNATH AND JOSEPH A. GALLIAN	
Nonacademic careers, internships, and undergraduate research	303
MICHAEL DORFF	
REU design: broadening participation and promoting success	315
REBECCA GARCIA AND CINDY WYELS	
Papers, posters, and presentations as outlets for undergraduate research	327
APARNA HIGGINS, LEWIS LUDWIG AND BRIGITTE SERVATIUS	
ISU REU: diverse, research-intensive, team-based	335
LESLIE HOGBEN	
AIM's Research Experiences for Undergraduate Faculty program	343
LESLIE HOGBEN AND ULRICA WILSON	
Institutional support for undergraduate research	355
KATHY HOKE, ALESSANDRA PANTANO, MAZEN ZARROUK AND AKLILU ZELEKE	
Experiences of working with undergraduate students on research during an academic year	363
JOBBY JACOB	
The role of graduate students in research experience for undergraduates programs	369
MICHAEL A. KARLS, DAVID MCCUNE, LARA PUDWELL AND AZADEH RAFIZADEH	
An unexpected discovery	373
ERIKA L. C. KING	
Alternative resources for funding and supporting undergraduate research	377
ZACHARY KUDLAK, ZEYNEP TEYMUROGLU AND CARL YERGER	
Academic year undergraduate research: the CURM model	383
TOR A. KWEMBE, KATHRYN LEONARD AND ANGEL R. PINEDA	
Information for faculty new to undergraduate research	395
CAYLA MCBEE AND VIOLETA VASILEVSKA	
Promoting REU participation from students in underrepresented groups	403
HEATHER M. RUSSELL AND HEATHER A. DYE	
The Center for Industrial Mathematics and Statistics at Worcester Polytechnic Institute	413
SUZANNE L. WEEKES	
Nontraditional undergraduate research problems from sports analytics and related fields	423
CARL R. YERGER	